

NOTE

Another Simple Proof of a Theorem of Milner

A. D. Scott*

*Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street,
 London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

E-mail: scott@math.ucl.ac.uk

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received August 15, 1998

[View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk](#)

An *intersecting Sperner system* on $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a collection of subsets of $[n]$, no pair of which is either disjoint or nested. Milner [2] proved that an intersecting Sperner system on $[n]$ has at most

$$\binom{n}{\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil}$$

sets. Katona [1] gave a simple proof of Milner's theorem using the cycle method. We give a simpler proof that uses the cycle method in a different way.

We write $[n]^{(k)}$ for the set of subsets of size k of $[n]$. For $\mathcal{F} \subset [n]^{(k)}$ we write $\partial^+ \mathcal{F}$ for the upper shadow $\{G \in [n]^{(k+1)} : G \supset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of \mathcal{F} and $\partial^- \mathcal{F}$ for the lower shadow $\{G \in [n]^{(k-1)} : G \subset F \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. By a simple counting argument, if $k < n/2$ then $|\partial^+ \mathcal{F}| \geq |\mathcal{F}|$ and if $k > n/2$ then $|\partial^- \mathcal{F}| \geq |\mathcal{F}|$.

THEOREM 1. *An intersecting Sperner system on $[n]$ has size at most*

$$\binom{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil}. \quad (1)$$

* The author thanks the Erdős Centre for support.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(n)$ be an intersecting Sperner system of maximum size N . If n is odd, then \mathcal{F} satisfies (1) by Sperner's lemma, so we may assume $n = 2k$ is even. Let $r = \min\{|A| : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F} \cap [n]^{(k)}$. If $r < n/2 = k$ then consider the system $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_r) \cup \partial^+ \mathcal{F}_r$. This is an intersecting Sperner system which is at least as large as \mathcal{F} , since $|\partial^+ \mathcal{F}_r| \geq |\mathcal{F}_r|$. Repeating the argument, we may assume that $|A| \geq n/2$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Now let $r = \max\{|A| : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$. If $r > k + 1$ then consider $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_r) \cup \partial^- \mathcal{F}_r$. Since all sets in \mathcal{F} have size at least $n/2$, this is an intersecting Sperner system, and $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq |\mathcal{F}|$ because $|\partial^- \mathcal{F}_r| \geq |\mathcal{F}_r|$. Repeating, we may assume that $\mathcal{F} \subset [n]^{(k)} \cup [n]^{(k+1)}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \partial^+ \mathcal{F}_k$. Since \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{F}_{k+1} are disjoint and $|\mathcal{F}_{k+1}| + |\mathcal{G}|$ is bounded by (1), the theorem follows if we show that $|\mathcal{G}| \geq |\mathcal{F}_k|$.

Consider a cyclic order \mathbf{c} of $[n]$ and suppose $f(\mathbf{c})$ elements of \mathcal{F}_k and $g(\mathbf{c})$ elements of \mathcal{G} occur as intervals in \mathbf{c} . Since we do not have both an interval and its complement in \mathcal{F}_k , we have $f(\mathbf{c}) \leq n/2 = k$. However, every interval of length k can be extended to an interval of length $k + 1$ in two ways, so $g(\mathbf{c}) \geq f(\mathbf{c}) + 1 \geq ((k + 1)/k) f(\mathbf{c})$. Each element of \mathcal{F}_k occurs in $k!^2$ cyclic orders and each element of \mathcal{G} in $(k + 1)!(k - 1)!$ cyclic orders, so summing over all orders gives

$$(k + 1)!(k - 1)! |\mathcal{G}| = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} g(\mathbf{c}) \geq \frac{k + 1}{k} \sum_{\mathbf{c}} f(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{k + 1}{k} k!^2 |\mathcal{F}_k|,$$

and so $|\mathcal{F}_k| \leq |\mathcal{G}|$, as required. ■

REFERENCES

1. G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of a theorem of Milner, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **83** (1998), 138–140.
2. E. C. Milner, A combinatorial theorem on systems of sets, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1968), 204–206.